

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,784	01/02/2001	Marvin T. Ling	GTX-001 CIP2	1957
1473	7590 07/02/2004		EXAM	INER
FISH & NEAVE			POINVIL, FRANTZY	
1251 AVENU	JE OF THE AMERICAS			
50TH FLOO	R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	, NY 10020-1105		3628	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\(\sigma\)	Application No.	Applicant(s)
V OSS.	09/753,784	LING, MARVIN T.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frantzy Poinvil	3628
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lon. on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 January 2001	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-42</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection t		• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for		raceived
200 mile distance Office action for a	a not of the certified copies flot	ICCCIVEU.
Marker (4)		
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ □	(075-115)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	8) Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	o) 🔲 Other	<u>—·</u>

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

line 41 to column 34, line 2);

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 11, 14-21, 25 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams et al. (US Patent No. 5,186,657).

As per claims 1 and 15, Williams et al. disclose all the claimed invention particularly a method for conducting electronic commerce comprising: issuing a plurality of electronic tokens from a mall service provider server to a first web server, the electronic tokens adapted for use in electronic transactions for purchase or rental of products services from a plurality of other web servers; see the abstract and column 12, lines 9-19; maintaining a first database in the mall service provider server, the first database including account information for the first web server; (column 18, line 56 to column 19, line 3 and column 31, line 41 to column 34, line 2; maintaining a second database in the mall service provider server comprising records of electronic transactions involving use of the electronic tokens at the plurality of other web servers (column 11, lines 53-63; column 18, line 56 to column 19, line 3 and column 31,

Art Unit: 3628

and for each electronic transaction, recording a royalty transaction in the account information for web servers at which electronic transactions using the electronic tokens are conducted (column 17, lines 19-22 and column 19, lines 4-8).

As per claims 2 and 16, Williams et al disclose registering a plurality of users are registered with the first web server, each user having an account to which a subset of the plurality of electronic tokens are allotted. See column 18, line 56 to column 19, line 3 and column 12, lines 9-40.

As per claims 3 and 17, Williams et al disclose the first database further includes account information each one of the plurality of users. See column 36, line 63 to column 37, line 43.

As per claims 4 and 18, Williams et al disclose a subset of the plurality of tokens allotted to each one of the plurality of users based upon a purchase of electronic tokens at a predetermined rate of exchange by web Servers. See column 36, line 63 to column 37, line 43 and column 18, line 56 to column 19, line 3.

As per claims 5 and 19, Williams et al disclose the subset of the plurality of the plurality of users is provided as an incentive to reward customer loyalty. See column 19, lines 4-8 and column 17, lines 19-23.

Art Unit: 3628

As per claims 6 and 20, Williams et al disclose issuing electronic tokens from the mall service provider server to the first web server further comprises issuing manufacturer's tokens adapted for use only at predetermined ones the plurality of other web Servers. See column 10, line 42 to column 11, line 63.

As per claims 7 and 21, Williams et al disclose maintaining a service provider server that includes a listing of products and services that the manufacturer's tokens may be used to purchase or rent. See the abstract and column 11, lines 53-63.

As per claims 11 and 25, see figures 20 and 25 of Williams et al.

As per claims 14 and 28, Williams et al disclose maintaining the first database the mall service provider server further comprises maintaining a record indicating how many of plurality of electronic tokens are available for use. See column 34, lines 5-64.

As per claim 29, see column 11, lines 53-64 of Williams et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3628

Claims 8,-10, 12,-13, 22- 24 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al (US Patent No. 5,815,657) view of Scroggie et al (US Patent No. 6,185,541).

The teachings of Williams et al are discussed above. As per claims 8, 9, 22 and 23, Williams et al do not explicitly teach reimbursing one plurality of other web servers for electronic tokens received during an electronic transaction and issuing a plurality of electronic tokens from the mall service provider server comprises issuing gift certificate tokens to the first web server. Scroggie discloses a system and method for providing shopping aids and incentives to customers through a computer network. In so doing, Scroggie discloses reimbursing electronic tokens during an electronic transaction. Note column 2, lines 52 to column 3, line 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Scroggie into Williams et al in order to encourage manufacturers and consumers to use the system thereby providing an attractive system.

As per claims 10, 12, 24 and 26, the combination of Williams and Scroggie teaches that a plurality of goods and services may be purchased using the electronic tokens. The type of services or goods being purchased do not affect the functioning of the Williams et al or Scroggie as such would have been left to the user of the system of Williams et al and Scroggie.

As per claims 13 and 27, the combination of Williams et al and Scroggie discloses issuing a plurality of tokens to a plurality of different entities. The different entities being

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/753,784

Art Unit: 3628

a vendor server that oversees electronic transactions using the first group of the electronic tokens through point sale terminals would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to reward the vendor server for their service in the overall system.

3. The prior art taken alone or in combination failed to teach or suggest transferring compensation from the first member vendor to the second member vendor in an amount equal to the value of the electronic tokens of the second type taken in combination with a method of conducting electronic commerce as recited in independent claim 30.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00AM-5:30PM.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

FP June 23, 2004

FRANTZY POINVIL

AU 3628